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ABSTRACT

The SH- wave reflection and the transmission characteristics of a two-dimensional, parallel, periodic array of transversely isotropic, piezoelectric, circular cylinders immersed in a material medium are examined. Use is made of the Floquet theorem to break up the scattering geometry into an infinite number of unit cells as well as to devise a plane wave spectral representation of both the elastic and the electromagnetic fields. The scattering characteristics of each cylinder are obtained by a separation of variables approach. Fourier-Bessel expansions are then used in a mode-matching technique to solve for the scattering characteristics. A quasi-static approximation is also derived and is used to compute the diffracted power coefficients. It is observed that the voltage developed across a diameter of the cylinder in a unit cell accurately reflects the Rayleigh-Wood anomalies.

INTRODUCTION

Ever since the discovery of the piezoelectric effect by Pierre and Jacques Curie [1,2], materials possessing the relevant properties have been used in the manufacture of diverse transducers, resonators, filters and other such-like applications. Piezoelectricity is the linear, reversible coupling between electromagnetic and mechanical (elastic) energies due to the displacement of charges. Charge applied over the surfaces of such a material produces internal stress and strain; conversely, the application of mechanical pressure creates a change in the surface charge density, thereby launching an electromagnetic field.

Materials that are piezoelectric are either crystals with anisotropic properties, or, they are ceramics with ferroelectric properties which can be endowed with a permanent charge polarization through dielectric hysteresis. Single crystals are generally suited for very high frequencies and, in quartz, elastic wave propagation has been observed even at 125 GHz. However, the piezoelectric coupling in quartz is quite weak. Synthetic materials, principally ferroelectric ceramics like uniaxial barium titanate (BaTiO₃) and lead zirconate titanate (PZT), on the other hand, have stronger piezoelectric coupling and possess polycrystalline grain structures which propagate elastic waves with moderate attenuation at frequencies up to the low-megahertz range.

Although the use of piezoelectric materials is quite widespread, the scattering and the absorption properties of material volumes made of such media have not been extensively investigated. Reflection and transmission characteristics of planar, piezoelectric half-spaces was examined by Kyame [3,4]. Scattering of elastic and electromagnetic (EM) waves by some piezoelectric, cylindrical inclusions has been explored by Moon [Ref. 5, and others loc. cit.]. But because of the complicated anisotropic nature of such materials, whose taxonomy runs over no less than 11 systems [2], a general theory for scattering by piezoelectric material volumes has been lacking.
The aim of the present work, however, is not to present such a general theory (a formidable task, if not actually impossible). Instead, a specific problem, not treated in the extant literature, is going to be investigated here. The scattering geometry consists of a periodic array of identical, piezoelectric, circular cylinders of infinite lengths which are immersed in a non-piezoelectric medium. The piezoelectric materials considered here have hexagonal symmetry and are transversely isotropic, and, furthermore, have the same form of constitutive properties as uniaxial BaTiO₃. For the properties of such a class of materials, the reader is referred to the works of Auld [2] and Moon [5]. Although the incident wave can either be an SH-type elastic wave or a TM-polarized electromagnetic wave, numerical results will be given only for the former incidence case. Use is made of the Fourier-Bessel expansions and the plane wave spectral (PWS) representation of the relevant fields and a mode-matching theory [6] is utilized to compute the plane wave reflection and the transmission coefficients of such an array.

PRELIMINARIES

Consider the scattering geometry shown in Fig. 1 where the homogeneous medium 1 extends over all space, except for the parallel, periodic array of circular cylinders made of material 2. Medium 1 has been chosen to be isotropic and homogeneous; it can support both electromagnetic and elastic waves independent of each other, and is devoid of any piezoelectric coupling. On the other hand, the cylinders possess piezoelectric properties and are transversely isotropic in the xy plane. Piezoelectric materials of this kind are quite common, e.g., uniaxial BaTiO₃, cadmium sulfide (CdS) and zinc oxide (ZnO) [5]. Such materials, being piezoelectric, are necessarily anisotropic, but that anisotropy manifests itself in wave propagation in the xz (or, the yz) plane. Since the present problem is two-dimensional and wave propagation occurs in the xy plane, transverse isotropy aids in the formulation of a comparatively simpler solution. Furthermore, the following treatment applies strictly to materials like uniaxial BaTiO₃; for other classes of piezoelectric media with transverse isotropy and hexagonal symmetry, modifications must be made in the coefficients C̃ₘ, D̃ₘ, Ẽₘ, etc. which appear later on.

The permeability and the permittivity of medium 1 are denoted by ε₁ and μ₁, respectively, while its density and rigidity are denoted by ρ₁ and c₄₄₁, respectivey. Electromagnetic waves polarized TM-to-z alone are coupled to the SH-type elastic waves in this problem; hence, the other material properties of medium 1 are not of consequence here. The corresponding properties of the piezoelectric medium 2 are denoted by ε̃₂, μ̃₂, ρ̃₂, and c̃₄₂, whereas ε₁2 is its needed piezoelectric coupling constant [2,5].

It turns out, therefore, that in medium 1, the EM plane waves can be of the form

\[ \mathbf{H} \propto \exp [ik H_1 \mathbf{r}] z; \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -i\omega \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{E}, \]  

with the EM wave number

\[ k_{H_1} = \omega [\varepsilon_1 \mu_1]^{-1/2}, \]  

whereas the elastic displacement vector \( \mathbf{u} \) of the plane SH-waves is of the form

\[ \mathbf{u} \propto \exp [ik S H_1 \mathbf{r}] z, \]  

with the SH wave number

\[ k_{SH_1} = \omega [\rho_1/c_{441}]^{-1/2}. \]
When a SH wave or a TM-polarized EM wave impinges on the cylinders, the piezoelectricity of medium 2 gives rise to scattered fields of both kinds. Thus, whereas the elastic and the EM waves are decoupled in medium 1, the two fields induced inside each cylinder are coupled together by the coupling factor $e_{152}$. In medium 2, a pure TM-polarized EM field possessing a wavenumber

$$k_{H2} = \frac{\omega}{[\varepsilon_{T2} \mu_{2}]}^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3a)

can exist, along with a piezoelectrically stiffened SH wave having a wavenumber

$$k_{SH2} = \frac{\omega}{[\rho_{2}/(c_{442}^{2} + (e_{152})^{2}/\varepsilon_{T2})]}^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3b)

It may be noted that in (3b) the rigidity is not $c_{442}^{2}$, it being augmented by the addition of $(e_{152})^{2}/\varepsilon_{T2}$. Insofar as the actual representation of the various field components in the cylinders are concerned, they will not be given here and the interested reader is referred to Moon [5].

Finally, it should be noted here that $L$ is the period between consecutive cylinders along the x axis and $a$ is their radius of cross-section. Because of the periodicity of the problem, the celebrated Floquet theorem [7] can be used to break down the scattering geometry into an infinite number of unit cells, also illustrated in Fig. 1. Then, the scattering by any one of these unit cells can be considered separately with periodic boundary conditions being imposed on each cell. To accomplish this purpose, one needs, however, the scattering response of a sole piezoelectric cylinder embedded in medium 1.

**SCATTERING OF WAVES BY A PIEZOELECTRIC CYLINDER**

As is customary in two-dimensional problems involving cylindrical geometries, all relevant fields are expanded in terms of cylindrical Bessel functions $J_{m}(\cdot)$ or cylindrical Hankel functions of the first kind $H_{m}^{(\cdot)}$, concurrent with a harmonic time dependence $e^{-\omega t}$. Thus, if a SH wave

$$u_{z} = \sum_{m} A_{m} J_{m}(k_{SH1} r) r^{-m} (x + iy)^{m}, m \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

is incident on a piezoelectric cylinder of radius $a$, then the total field existing outside the cylinder can be expressed in the form [5]:

$$u_{z}^{\text{unit}} = \sum_{m} A_{m} \{J_{m}(k_{SH1} r) + C_{m} H_{m}(k_{SH1} r)\} r^{-m} (x + iy)^{m}, m \in \{-\infty, \infty\}, r \geq a$$  \hspace{1cm} (5a)

and

$$H_{z}^{\text{unit}} = \sum_{m} A_{m} \{D_{m} H_{m}(k_{H1} r)\} r^{-m} (x + iy)^{m}, m \in \{-\infty, \infty\}, r \geq a$$  \hspace{1cm} (5b)

where,

$$r = (x^{2} + y^{2})^{1/2},$$

$$-\Delta_{m} C_{m} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq 0, \\ \left(\frac{me_{152}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{T2}}\right)^{2} J_{m}(k_{SH1} a) J_{m}(k_{SH2} a) H_{m}(k_{H1} a) J_{m}(k_{H2} a) \\
- \left[H_{m}(k_{H1} a) J_{m}(k_{H2} a) - \eta_{12} H_{m}^{(1)}(k_{H1} a) J_{m}(k_{H2} a)\right]^{2} \\
\times \left[J_{m}(k_{SH1} a) J_{m}(k_{SH2} a) - \gamma_{12} J_{m}^{(1)}(k_{SH1} a) J_{m}(k_{SH2} a)\right]^{2} \\
\times \left[k_{H2}^{2} k_{SH2}^{2} \left(c_{442} + e_{152}^{2}/\varepsilon_{T2}\right)\right]^{2} \\
\times \left[J_{m}(k_{SH1} a) J_{m}(k_{SH2} a)\right]^{2} \\
\times \left[J_{m}(k_{SH1} a) J_{m}(k_{SH2} a)\right]^{2} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)

$$\Delta_{m} D_{m} = \left[2\pi m e_{152}^{2}\right] J_{m}(k_{SH2} a) J_{m}(k_{H2} a),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)
Figure 1. Schematic of the problem.
\[ \Delta_m = \left( \frac{me_152}{2eT_2} \right) H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) H_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) \]
\[ \quad \times \left[ H_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) - \eta_{12} H_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) \right] \ast \]
\[ \times \left[ H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) - \gamma_{12} H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) \right] \ast \]
\[ \times \left[ k_{H2}k_{SH2a}^2 \right] \ast \left[ \frac{c_{442} + e_152}{eT_2} \right], \quad (7c) \]
\[ \eta_{12} = (\mu_1/\mu_2)^{1/2} \left( eT_2/e_1 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (7d) \]
\[ \gamma_{12} = (\rho_1/\rho_2)^{1/2} \left( c_{442}/c_{441} + e_152^2/c_{441}eT_2 \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (7e) \]

and the primes denote differentiation with respect to the argument.

If, on the other hand, a TM-polarized EM wave

\[ H_z^0 = -i\omega \sum_{m=\infty} B_m J_m(k_{H1r}) r^{-m} (x+iy)^m, \quad m \in \{ -\infty, \infty \} \quad (8) \]

is incident on the cylinder, then the total field existing outside it can be set down as \([5]\):

\[ H_z^{\text{unit}} = -i\omega \sum_{m=\infty} B_m \left( J_m(k_{H1r}) + E_m H_m(k_{H1r}) \right) r^{-m} (x+iy)^m, \quad m \in \{ -\infty, \infty \}, \quad r \geq a, (9a) \]

and

\[ u_z^{\text{unit}} = \sum_{m=\infty} B_m \left[ F_m H_m(k_{SH1r}) \right] r^{-m} (x+iy)^m, \quad m \in \{ -\infty, \infty \}, \quad r \geq a, \quad (9b) \]

where

\[ -\Delta_m E_m = \left( \frac{me_152}{2eT_2} \right) H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) J_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) \]
\[ \quad \times \left[ J_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) - \eta_{12} J_m(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) \right] \ast \]
\[ \times \left[ H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) - \gamma_{12} H_m(k_{SH1a}) J_m(k_{SH2a}) \right] \ast \]
\[ \times \left[ k_{H2}k_{SH2a}^2 \right] \ast \left[ \frac{c_{442} + e_152}{eT_2} \right], \quad (10a) \]

and

\[ \Delta_m F_m = -[2me_{152}/\pi e_1] J_m(k_{SH2a}) J_m(k_{H2a}). \quad (10b) \]

Therefore, the complete general expression of the total fields existing outside the piezoelectric cylinder must be of the form:

\[ u_z^{\text{unit}} = \sum_m r^{-m} (x+iy)^m \left[ B_m F_m H_m(k_{SH1r}) + A_m \left\{ J_m(k_{SH1r}) + C_m H_m(k_{SH1r}) \right\} \right], \quad m \in \{ -\infty, \infty \}, \quad r \geq a, \quad (11) \]

and

\[ H_z^{\text{unit}} = -i\omega \sum_m r^{-m} (x+iy)^m \left[ A_m D_m H_m(k_{H1r}) + B_m \left\{ J_m(k_{H1r}) + E_m H_m(k_{H1r}) \right\} \right], \quad m \in \{ -\infty, \infty \}, \quad r \geq a, \quad (12) \]

with \( A_m \) and \( B_m \) representing the yet unknown coefficients of the incident SH and TM waves. As would be seen in the next section, it would be advantageous to recast these two expressions into an equivalent form.

101
\[ u_{z_{\text{unit}}} = \sum_m \cos(m\varphi) \left[ A_{1m} J_m(k_{SH1}r) + C_m H_m(k_{SH1}r) \right] + \sum_m \sin(m\varphi) \left[ A_{3m} J_m(k_{SH1}r) + C_{-m} H_m(k_{SH1}r) \right], \quad r \geq a \]

and

\[ (i/\omega)H^\text{unit}_z = \sum_m \sin(m\varphi) \left[ A_{2m} J_m(k_{H1}r) + C_m H_m(k_{H1}r) \right] + \sum_m \cos(m\varphi) \left[ A_{4m} J_m(k_{H1}r) + C_{-m} H_m(k_{H1}r) \right], \quad r \geq a \]

with \( \varphi = \tan^{-1}(y/x) \), and the expansion coefficients \( A_{jm} (j = 1, \ldots, 4) \) are as yet unknown. For summations in (13) and (14) over functions involving \( \sin(m\varphi) \), the index \( m \) extends over \( \{1, \infty\} \); while for those involving \( \cos(m\varphi) \), the index \( m \) assumes values in the range \( \{0, \infty\} \).

Pertinent to the present problem, however, the foregoing expressions hold rigorously only if \( L > 8a^3 \), but cannot be deemed to be valid rigorously if \( L < 8a^3 \). In the latter case, this method must be held \textit{a priori} to be an approximate one. Should, however, the cylinders intersect, i.e., if \( L < 2a \), then together they form an infinitely long material plate with periodically rough boundaries, and techniques like the ones described in Refs. 8 and 9 may be used to solve for the reflection and transmission problem.

THE COMPLETE SOLUTION

In the region \( |y| \geq a \), the appropriate representation of both the elastic and the EM fields is in terms of the Bloch wave functions with periodicity \( L \). Consequently, for \( y \geq a \), \( -\infty < x < \infty \),

\[ u_{z+} = \sum_n \{S_{1n+} \exp[-i\beta_n^S H y] + R_{1n+} \exp[i\beta_n^S H y]\} \exp[i\alpha_n^S x] \tag{15} \]

\[ H_{z+} = -i\omega \sum_n \{S_{2n+} \exp[-i\beta_n^H y] + R_{2n+} \exp[i\beta_n^H y]\} \exp[i\alpha_n^H x] \tag{16} \]

where,

\[ \alpha_n = \alpha_0 + n(2\pi/L), \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \tag{17a} \]

\[ \beta_n^S = +\{k_{SH1}^2 - \alpha_n^S \alpha_n^S\}^{1/2}, \tag{17b} \]

\[ \beta_n^H = +\{k_{H1}^2 - \alpha_n^H \alpha_n^H\}^{1/2}, \tag{17c} \]

and \( \alpha_0 \) is a parameter decided by the PWS expansion of the incident field \([7]\), and will be given later.

Likewise, for \( y \leq -a \), \( -\infty < x < \infty \), the fields can be set down as

\[ u_{z-} = \sum_n \{S_{1n-} \exp[-i\beta_n^S H y] + R_{1n-} \exp[i\beta_n^S H y]\} \exp[i\alpha_n^S x] \tag{18} \]

\[ H_{z-} = -i\omega \sum_n \{S_{2n-} \exp[-i\beta_n^H y] + R_{2n-} \exp[i\beta_n^H y]\} \exp[i\alpha_n^H x]. \tag{19} \]

In these field expressions, the plane wave ensembles \( \{S_{1n+}\}, \{S_{2n+}\}, \{R_{1n+}\} \) and \( \{R_{2n+}\} \) represent plane waves \textit{moving towards} the cylinder array, and could be propagating or evanescent. The remaining ensembles, \( \{S_{1n-}\}, \{S_{2n-}\}, \{R_{1n-}\} \) and \( \{R_{2n+}\} \) represent plane waves \textit{moving away} from the array. Since only the reflection and transmission of a SH wave is going to be considered here, it follows that

\[ S_{2n+} = R_{1n-} = R_{2n-} = 0, \quad \forall \ n; \quad S_{1n+} = \delta_n^0, \tag{20a} \]
where $\delta_{nm}$ is the Kronecker delta; the remaining coefficients in (15), (16), (18) and (19) need to be determined. In addition, since the incident SH wave will be taken to be a plane wave making an angle $\phi_0$ with the $y$ axis,

$$\alpha_0 = k_{SH1} \sin(\phi_0),$$ (20b)

It now remains to link the various coefficients $A_{jm}$ ($j = 1, \ldots, 4$) with the plane wave coefficients of this section in order to obtain the scattering characteristics of the piezoelectric cylinder array. It would be useful, however, to exploit the symmetries of the elastic and the EM fields about the $y$ axis. Thus, let all of the fields to be decomposed as follows:

$$\zeta(x,y) = (1/2) \left[ \zeta_{e}(x,y) + \zeta_{o}(x,y) \right],$$ (21a)

where

$$\zeta_{e}(x,y) = [\zeta(x,y) + \zeta(x,-y)],$$ (21b)

and

$$\zeta_{o}(x,y) = [\zeta(x,y) - \zeta(x,-y)];$$ (21c)

and this decomposition is forced upon the expansions (13) - (16), (18) and (19).

On enforcing the continuity of the even magnetic and the odd displacement fields, as well as of their $y$-derivatives, across $y = a$, $|x| \leq L/2$ and eliminating the coefficients $A_{3m}$ and $A_{4m}$ leads to the matrix equation [10,11]:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
U_1 & U_2 \\
U_3 & U_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
S_{1+} + R_{1-} \\
S_{1-} + R_{1+}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
S_{2+} - R_{2-} \\
R_{2+} - S_{2-}
\end{pmatrix},$$ (22a)

where

$$\begin{pmatrix}
U_1 & U_2 \\
U_3 & U_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
N_1^H & N_2^H \\
N_3^H & N_4^H
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
M_1^H & M_2^H \\
M_3^H & M_4^H
\end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
M_1^{SH} & M_2^{SH} \\
M_3^{SH} & M_4^{SH}
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
N_1^{SH} & N_2^{SH} \\
N_3^{SH} & N_4^{SH}
\end{pmatrix},$$ (22b)

and the various matrices of (22b) are given in the Appendix.

Similarly, on enforcing the continuity of the odd magnetic and the even displacement fields, as well as of their $y$-derivatives, across $y = a$, $|x| \leq L/2$ and eliminating the coefficients $A_{1m}$ and $A_{2m}$ leads to the matrix equation [10,11]:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
V_1 & V_2 \\
V_3 & V_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
S_{1+} + R_{1-} \\
S_{1-} + R_{1+}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
S_{1+} - R_{1-} \\
R_{1+} - S_{1-}
\end{pmatrix},$$ (23a)
where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  V_1 & V_2 \\
  V_3 & V_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
  N_1^{SH} & N_2^{SH} \\
  N_3^{SH} & N_4^{SH} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  M_5^{SH} & M_6^{SH} \\
  M_7^{SH} & M_8^{SH} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
  M_5^{H} & M_6^{H} \\
  M_7^{H} & M_8^{H} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  N_1^{H} & N_2^{H} \\
  N_3^{H} & N_4^{H} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
  M_5^{SH} & M_6^{SH} \\
  M_7^{SH} & M_8^{SH} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  M_5^{H} & M_6^{H} \\
  M_7^{H} & M_8^{H} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  N_1^{H} & N_2^{H} \\
  N_3^{H} & N_4^{H} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\left(\begin{array}{c}
  S_{1-} \\
  R_{1+} \\
\end{array}\right) 
= 
\left[ T \begin{array}{c}
  S_{1+} \\
  R_{1-} \\
\end{array}\right]^T
\left[ T \begin{array}{c}
  S_{1-} \\
  R_{1+} \\
\end{array}\right]^T
\tag{23b}
\]

and the various matrices of (23b) are also given in the Appendix.

Rearrangement of (22a) and (23a) finally gives a system T-matrix for the periodic array
\[
[S_{1-} ; R_{1+} ; S_{2-} ; R_{2+}]^T = [T] \left[ S_{1+} ; R_{1-} ; S_{1+} ; R_{1-} \right]^T
\tag{24a}
\]

where \( T^T \) denotes transpose, and the matrix
\[
[T] = \begin{pmatrix}
  U_2 & U_2 & 0 & 0 \\
  U_4 & U_4 & I & -I \\
  0 & 0 & V_2 & V_2 \\
  I & -I & V_4 & V_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
  U_1 & U_1 & -I & I \\
  U_3 & U_3 & 0 & 0 \\
  -I & I & V_1 & V_1 \\
  0 & 0 & V_3 & V_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{24b}
\]

with \( I \) being the identity matrix.

Of necessity, all of the submatrices involved in (22) - (24) are truncated to be of size \((2N+1) \times (2N+1)\). Recalling (20a), the principle of conservation of energy can used to determine the truncation parameter \( N \). The requirement that the final solution preserve the unitarity relation
\[
\sum_n \Re(\beta_n^{SH}) [ |S_{1n}|^2 + |R_{1n+1}|^2 ] / \beta_0^{SH}
+ \sum_n (\mu_1/\varepsilon_1)^{1/2}(\omega^{2}/\pi c_{441}^{SH}) \Re(\beta_n^{H/kH_1}) [ |S_{2n}|^2 + |R_{2n+1}|^2 ] = 1
\tag{25}
\]

within an adequate error tolerance (±0.5%) determines its convergence. Additionally, the various coefficients \( S_{0n+} \), \( R_{0n+} \) (\( p = 1, 2 \)) should also converge within an acceptable error bound, say not exceeding 1.0%, and this requirement can also used to check the accuracy and the adequacy of the computed solution.
THE QUASI-STATIC APPROXIMATION

The solution procedure outlined above is indeed complete; however, because of the wide disparity between the wavenumbers \( k_{SH1} \) and \( k_{H1} \), what are high-frequency elastic waves are found to be coupled with very low-frequency EM waves. Since the normalised distance \( k_{H1} L \ll k_{SH1} L \), and because the energy conversion mechanism is not a very strong one (i.e., \( D_m \) and \( F_m \) are very small compared with the other coefficients \( C_m \) and \( E_m \)), it is possible to use a quasi-static approximation quite fruitfully.

In view of the incidence conditions (20a), the interaction of electromagnetic and elastic waves can be initially ignored. Thus,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
Z_1 & Z_1 \\
Z_3 & -Z_3
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
S_{1+} \\
R_{1-}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{Z_2}
\begin{pmatrix}
Z_2 & Z_2 \\
Z_4 & -Z_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
S_{1-} \\
R_{1+}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(26)

can be derived from (22) and (23), where the submatrices

\[
Z_1 = M_3^{SH} \cdot [M_1^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_1^{SH} - N_3^{SH} \tag{27a}
\]

\[
Z_1 = -M_3^{SH} \cdot [M_1^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_2^{SH} + N_4^{SH} \tag{27b}
\]

\[
Z_1 = M_7^{SH} \cdot [M_5^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_1^{SH} - N_3^{SH} \tag{27c}
\]

\[
Z_1 = -M_7^{SH} \cdot [M_5^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_2^{SH} + N_4^{SH} \tag{27d}
\]

and

\[
A_{2m} = 0, \quad A_{4m} = 0, \quad \forall \ m \tag{28}
\]

Once the SH coefficients \( S_{1+} \) and \( R_{1+} \) have been determined from (26), the coefficients \( A_{1m} \) and \( A_{3m} \) can be found from the matrix relations:

\[
\{ A_1 \} = [M_1^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_1^{SH} \cdot \{ S_{1+} + R_{1-} \} + [M_1^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_2^{SH} \cdot \{ S_{1-} - R_{1+} \}, \tag{29a}
\]

\[
\{ A_3 \} = [M_5^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_1^{SH} \cdot \{ S_{1+} - R_{1-} \} + [M_5^{SH}]^{-1} \cdot N_2^{SH} \cdot \{ R_{1+} + S_{1-} \}. \tag{29b}
\]

Substitution of (28) and (29) in (13) and (14) gives the elastic and the electromagnetic fields, \( u_z^{\text{unit}} \) and \( H_z^{\text{unit}} \), respectively, in the unit cell outside the piezoelectric cylinder. Insofar as the fields generated inside the cylinder in each unit cell are concerned, they can be computed from the relations [5]:

\[
u_z^{\text{int, unit}} = \sum_{m} A_{1m} G_m J_m(k_{SH2r}) \cos m\phi + i \sum_{m} A_{3m} G_m J_m(k_{SH2r}) \sin m\phi, \tag{30}
\]

and

\[
H_z^{\text{int, unit}} = -i\omega \left( \sum_{m} A_{3m} I_m(k_{H2r}) \cos m\phi + i \sum_{m} A_{1m} I_m(k_{H2r}) \sin m\phi \right), \tag{31}
\]

where

\[
\Delta_m G_m = (-2ic_{44}/\pi) \left[ (\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_1)(k_{H1a}) H_m'(k_{H1a}) J_m(k_{H2a}) - (k_{H2a}) H_m(k_{H1a}) I_m'(k_{H2a}) \right], \tag{32}
\]
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\[ \Delta m_m = (2me_{152}c_{441}(\pi))J_m(k_{SH2a})H_m(k_{H1a}). \] (33)

Furthermore, the voltage difference \( V_{12} \) between two points \( \{a, \varphi_1\} \) and \( \{a, \varphi_1+\pi\} \) in a unit cell can be computed in the following manner:

\[ V_{12} = - \int_0^a dr [E_t^{\text{int,unit}}(r, \varphi_1) + E_t^{\text{int,unit}}(r, \varphi_1+\pi)] \] (34)

where,

\[ \varepsilon_{T2}E_t^{\text{int,unit}} = [(1/r) \partial / \partial \varphi \{ iH Z^{\text{int,unit}} / \omega \} - e_{152} \partial / \partial r (u Z^{\text{int,unit}})]; \] (35)

whence,

\[ V_{12} = (-2/e_{T2}) \sum_{m=0,2,4,\ldots} A_{1m} \cos m\varphi_1 \left[ iH_m \left\{ 1 - (2/k_{H2a}) \sum_{k=1,\ldots,m/2} \right. \right] \left. \left. (2k-1)J_{2k-1}(k_{H2a}) \right] - e_{152} G_m \left\{ J_m(k_{SH2a}) - J_m(0) \right\}. \] (36)

**NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The quasi-static approximation of the previous section was implemented on a DEC VAX 11/730 minicomputer for piezoelectric cylinders of radii \( a = 0.2 \text{cm} \) immersed in a homogeneous medium whose constitutive parameters are \( \varepsilon_1 = 7.9\varepsilon_0, \mu_1 = \mu_0, \rho_1 = 1100 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) and \( c_{441} = 7.0 \times 10^7 \text{ N m}^{-2} \). The parameters for the cylinders themselves were taken to be \( \varepsilon_2 = 301.0\varepsilon_0, \mu_2 = \mu_0, \rho_2 = 6600 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) and \( c_{442} = 8.5 \times 10^7 \text{ N m}^{-2} \), with the piezoelectric coupling constant for medium 2 to be \( e_{152} = 11.6 \text{ C N}^{-1} \). It has been earlier [12] that in a composite medium consisting of a random array of such cylinders immersed in the selected medium 1 gives rise to increased attenuation of SH waves, in general. The end-result of these computations are the time-averaged Poynting vectors of the SH waves reflected (\( R_n \)) and transmitted (\( T_n \)) in medium 1 when a plane SH wave strikes the cylindrical array making an angle \( \phi_0^{\text{SH}} \) with the y axis. The power diffraction coefficients are given as

\[ R_n = |R_{1n+}|^2 Re(\beta_n^{\text{SH}}) / \beta_0^{\text{SH}} \] (37)

and

\[ T_n = |S_{1n+}|^2 Re(\beta_n^{\text{SH}}) / \beta_0^{\text{SH}}, \] (38)

and are obviously non-zero so long as \(|\alpha_n/k_{SH1}| \leq 1.0\). Additionally, calculations of the voltage \( V_{12} \) of (36) were also made with \( \varphi_1 = \pi/2 \).

Plotted in Fig. 2 is \( R_0 \) for the case when \( \phi_0^{\text{SH}} = 0^\circ \) and \( L = 0.6 \text{cm} \). This calculation was successfully performed up to a frequency of 42kHz, after which frequency convergence did not take place. This is due to the fact that the integrals of the submatrices involved (given in the Appendix) contain highly oscillatory integrands, and their computation, therefore, is prone to error. Although an efficient code [13] for computing the Bessel and Hankel functions was used here, still no confidence could be placed in the computed results beyond 42kHz frequency. In any case, \( T_0 = 1.0 - R_0 \) for the presented range of calculations, and no higher order transmitted or reflected modes carried any energy, i.e., \( R_n = T_n = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1 \). Also shown in Fig. 2 is the computed voltage \( V_{12} \) for \( \varphi_1 = \pi/2 \).

In Fig. 3 the calculations were repeated but with the periodicity \( L = 0.8 \text{cm} \) for frequencies up to 49kHz. The plots of \( R_0 \) and \( T_0 \) show anomalous behaviour at about 31kHz. Such an anomaly is
Figure 2. Specular reflection coefficient $R_0$ and the voltage $V_{12}$ computed between points $\{a, \pi/2\}$ and $\{a, 3\pi/2\}$ when a plane SH wave hits the cylinder array ($L = 0.6\text{cm}$, $a = 0.2\text{cm}$) at an angle of $\phi_0^\text{SH} = 0^\circ$. 
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Figure 3. Specular reflection coefficient $R_0$, specular transmission coefficient $T_0$, and the voltage $V_{12}$ computed between points $(a, \pi/2)$ and $(a, 3\pi/2)$ at an angle of $\phi_0 = 0^\circ$. 
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called a Rayleigh-Wood anomaly [14], and comes here since the \( \pm 1 \)th modes have been excited here, and, from this frequency onwards, propagate non-zero energies. However, \( R_{\pm 1} \) and \( T_{\pm 1} \) have not been plotted here for purposes of clarity. It is curious that, in spite of the use of the quasi-static approximation, the voltage \( V_{12} \) also records the Rayleigh-Wood anomaly as well as do \( R_0 \) and \( T_0 \).

A similar comment regarding the ability of the computed voltage \( V_{12} \) to reflect the Rayleigh-Wood anomalies also holds in Figs. 4 - 6. In all of these three figures, \( \theta_0^{\text{SH}} = 30^\circ \), but \( L = 0.6 \text{cm} \) (Fig.4), 0.8cm (Fig.5) and 1.0cm (Fig.6). Again, \( V_{12} \) reflects all of the Rayleigh-Wood anomalies which appear in the \( R_n \) and the \( T_n \) profiles.

A couple of general comments regarding the presented calculations are now in order. Firstly, it was found that by ignoring the piezoelectric coupling \( e_{152} \), the total reflected power \( \Sigma_n R_n \) was generally decremented by a small quantity, not exceeding 0.5\% of the total incident power. As a compensation, the total transmitted power \( \Sigma_n T_n \) would be incremented by the same amount when \( e_{152} \) was set equal to zero. Thus, at least for the present calculations and the frequencies considered, it can be safely stated that the piezoelectric properties of the cylinders did not greatly affect their elastic scattering characteristics.

Secondly, and perhaps more importantly, all of the \( V_{12} \) profiles shown here contain an additional anomaly at frequencies around 40kHz. Although at first glance this looks surprising, this anomaly, whose location depends only on the cylinder shape, size and constitutive parameters, is similar to the impedance-frequency characteristic of the quartz-crystal oscillators [15]. Presumably, therefore, a transmission line model [5] of the piezoelectric cylinder will be able to predict this behavior. It is interesting, however, to observe this characteristic behavior of crystal oscillators in a scattering problem.

Lastly, the very high values of \( V_{12} \) need some clarification. From a computational standpoint, such high values result from the presence of the Hankel function \( H_{\text{in},k_{\text{H1}}a} \) in the formula (33) for \( I_m \). Since, \( k_{\text{H1}}a \) is a very small quantity at these frequencies, the Hankel function becomes extremely large, thereby making \( I_m \) also very large. Consequently, the EM field excited inside each cylinder is very high, although it does not radiate outwards enough to merit any effect on the satisfaction of the principle of conservation of energy. Therefore, \( V_{12} \) is also very large. However, it is to be noted that it is an open-circuit voltage. Presumably, when a pair of leads is put across the cylinder in order to tap this high voltage, it will immediately drop to very low values and will need amplification in order to be measured.
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**APPENDIX**

The matrix elements of (22b) and (23b) are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(N_1^P)_{nm} &= \delta_{nm} \exp[-i\beta_n^P \cdot a]; \quad p = \text{SH, H} \quad \text{(A1)}
(N_2^P)_{nm} &= \delta_{nm} \exp[i\beta_n^P \cdot a]; \quad p = \text{SH, H} \quad \text{(A2)}
(N_3^P)_{nm} &= -i\beta_n^P (N_1^P)_{nm}; \quad p = \text{SH, H} \quad \text{(A3)}
(N_4^P)_{nm} &= i\beta_n^P (N_2^P)_{nm}; \quad p = \text{SH, H} \quad \text{(A4)}
(M_{1\text{SH}})_{nm} &= \int dx \exp[-ic_n x] [\cos m\varphi] [I_m(k_{\text{SH1}}t) + C_m H_m(k_{\text{SH1}}t)] \bigg|_{y=a} \quad \text{(A5)}
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 4. Reflection coefficients $R_0$ and $R_{-1}$, and the voltage $V_{12}$ computed between points $(a, \pi/2)$ and $(a, 3\pi/2)$ when a plane SH wave hits the cylinder array ($L = 0.6\, \text{cm}$, $a = 0.2\, \text{cm}$) at an angle of $\theta_0^{\text{SH}} = 30^\circ$. 
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Figure 5. Reflection coefficients $R_0$, $R_1$ and $R_2$ and the voltage $V_{12}$ computed between points \( \{a, \pi/2\} \) and \( \{a, 3\pi/2\} \) when a plane SH wave hits the cylinder array (\( L = 0.8 \text{cm}, a = 0.2 \text{cm} \)) at an angle of \( \theta_0^{\text{SH}} = 30^\circ \).


